

ความเคลื่อนไหวของคนไทยในต่างแดน แก่ความเข้าใจผิดของสื่อตะวันตก คุณปีเตอร์ ตั้งเพียรกิจ

เขียนโดย Peter Tang-piankij

วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 00:00 น. - แก้ไขล่าสุด วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 13:56 น.

ข้อความยาวหน่อย แต่เขียนดี ชัดเจน น่าอ่านมาก

ความเคลื่อนไหวของคนไทยในต่างแดน แก่ความเข้าใจผิดของสื่อตะวันตก

คุณปีเตอร์ ตั้งเพียรกิจ

สวัสดีพี่น้อง

เมื่อคืนผมได้ส่ง จ.ม. ภาษา อ. ที่ผมใช้เวลาเขียนตั้งหลาย ชม. ไปให้ นาย Thomas Fuller ผู้สื่อข่าว NY Times เพื่อไปต่อว่าเขาให้เขารู้ว่า
เขาเขียนบทความรายงานข่าวที่ไม่เป็นจริงและไม่ถูกต้อง พร้อมกับเรียกร้องให้เขาทำหน้าที่ให้ถูกต้องหลักจรรยาบรรณสื่อ เสนอความจริงอย่างไม่เข้าข้างใคร จ.ม.
นั้นค่อนข้างยาวและก็ได้จากข้างล่างสุดของ ภายใต้ เส้น ----- ของเมลฉบับนี้

และเพื่อให้มั่นใจว่าเขาได้รับ ผมเลยส่งไปให้ Editor ของเขา พร้อมกับแสดงความจำนงว่า อยากให้ Editor เขาส่งต่อไปยังผู้บริหารสูงสุด
เพื่อให้เขาตระหนักถึงการทำหน้าที่ที่ใช้ไม่ได้ของ นาย Thomas Fuller จ.ม. ที่ผมเขียนให้กับ Editor ก็อยู่ข้างล่าง เส้น xxxxxx นี้ Editor ของ NY Times ก็ได้รับ
จ.ม. ของผมแล้ว และตอบรับมาให้ผม โปรดดู ไฟล์ที่แนบมา

ผมขอเชิญชวนให้พี่น้อง ถ้ามีเวลาสักหน่อย ช่วยกันเขียนไปร้องเรียนให้ สำนักสื่อต่างชาติทราบ ถ้าผู้สื่อข่าวในสังกัดของเขาไม่ได้ทำหน้าที่อย่างตรงไปตรงมา
ลงข่าวที่บิดเบือนความจริง เท่าที่ผมสังเกตมา มีผู้สื่อข่าวคนไทยช่วยเขียนข่าวหลอกลวงชาวโลกด้วย โปรดจำชื่อเขาและเขียนไปต่อว่าเขาด้วย

ป.

จดหมายถึงบรรณาธิการ New York Times

ความเคลื่อนไหวของคนไทยในต่างแดน แก่ความเข้าใจผิดของสื่อตะวันตก คุณปีเตอร์ ตั้งเพียรกิจ

เขียนโดย Peter Tang-piankij

วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 00:00 น. - แก้ไขล่าสุด วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 13:56 น.

Date: Monday, December 16, 2013, 11:41 PM

Dear Sir or Madam,

I've written a letter to your SE Asian correspondence,

Thomas Fuller, to inform him that his report contained

distorted facts and was not in line with the practice of

good journalism. I want to share the facts with him so

that he can file accurate and fair reports in the future for

the benefit of general readers worldwide as well as your

organization. I sent my letter below to him at his email

address next to the articles he wrote.

Since am not so sure if he would receive my letter and be

able to read it in the entirety, I am emailing my letter

(below the dotted line) to you. I would greatly appreciate

if you will forward it to him and to your editor as well as

the Executive in charge. I just want to help you ensure

that your correspondence will adhere to the high ethical

standard in journalism and to do his/her job in a

professional and responsible manner.

A internationally renowned news media like you should not

condone any reporter who writes his/her article that

contains fault hood and/or twisted fact, is biased and with

ความเคลื่อนไหวของคนไทยในต่างแดน แก่ความเข้าใจผิดของสื่อตะวันตก คุณปีเตอร์ ตั้งเพียรกิจ

เขียนโดย Peter Tang-piankij

วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 00:00 น. - แก้ไขล่าสุด วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 13:56 น.

hidden motive. As you know, allowing inaccurate, unfair

and faulty reports to be published will have negative

impacts and not be beneficial to your organization.

Sincerely,

Peter Tang-piankij

To: Thomas Fuller

The New York Times – SE Asian

correspondence

Dear Thomas,

For any Westerner like you who dared to try Durian and has

fallen in love with the king of the fruits, I have to say

that you're not an ignorant person. But after I read

your article "Economic Realignment Fuels Regional

Political Divisions in Thailand" published on 12/3/13 in

the New York Times (your employer), I couldn't help but

join other well-informed readers of Thai nationality to form

the opinions that perhaps you are. Any readers worldwide

would come to the same conclusion if they've read the

articles on the same subject separately written by Philip J

Cunningham and Tony Cartalucci. Nonetheless, I will give

you the benefit of the doubt for now that you might have

been misled to hook up with the wrong crowd in Thailand in

your quest for sensational stories. To help you return to

the practice of good journalism (accurate, fair and truthful

reporting), I'd like to point out the flaws in your article.

You only interviewed two individuals (Nidhi Eoseewong and

Charles Keyes) who are known to strongly support or

sympathize with the fugitive former ex-PM, Thaksin

Shinawatra, his puppet regimes (PMs Samak Sundaravej,

Somchai Wongsawat, Yingluck Shinawatra) and his red-shirt

followers. You failed to provide the equal opportunity to

other qualified individuals who have opposing views. Thus,

you've practically denied your readers or general public

the information they should have to balance out the

different perspectives and to make their own conclusion

which side of the stories is more sensible and credible.

For Nidhi Eoseewong and Charles Keys to tell you and your

readers that the cause of conflict or the mass protests came

from socioeconomic change or classes, from power struggles

or dialectic variation in the northeastern provinces, and

from the uncertainty on the royal succession in the future

are totally irrelevant, so ridiculous and nonsense! These

two men totally missed it when they conveniently blamed the

conflict or unrest on the economic disparity or jealousy

between the elite (rich) in Bangkok and the poor or the

wealthier farmers in the rural provinces. They did not

know that Thai government in 1980s had crafted the plans for

the Board of Investment (BOI) to provide incentives for

investors to set up factories in provinces for the purposes

of spreading economic growth and jobs outside Bangkok.

Despite the bountiful truth involved, their misstatements

and fact-distortion here and elsewhere can only be

interpreted as ill-will toward Thailand and Thai people.

As you know, on the truth and being truthful, President

Abraham Lincoln said “you can fool all the people some of

the time, and some of the people all the time, but you

cannot fool all the people all the time.”, while Buddha

said three things cannot be long hidden: the sun, the moon,

and the truth.

But for these two educators, the passage of time and the

expanded availability of factual information on the charges

of corruption and/or misconducts by Thaksin and his cronies

have not helped them reduce their ignorance. The two men

like to act as spokesman for Thaksin and his cronies,

crediting Thaksin’s populist policies aimed to exploit the

poor and ill-informed Thai people, and defending the

misconducts or corruption charged against Thaksin and his

cronies. They like to sidestep the issues involved while

frequently conjecturing the words military coup, majority

votes, democratically elected, politically motivated,

undemocratic, etc. The two men continue to bend the

attention and focus on the root causes that have fueled the

ongoing turmoil. They even won't hesitate to

irrelevantly link the conflicts to the Thai Royal

institution and our beloved king as well as the Lese Majesty

law; all favorite points attempting to fool foreigners and

quickly get the attention from Western media! These two

men have been known to have distorted mind and twisted views

consistently making unconstructive statements on

controversial issues in favor of Thaksin Shinawatra, his

political parties and red-shirt supporters while against

anyone who opposes the convicted fugitive ex-PM. This fact

was reaffirmed in your report here when they knowingly

misrepresented the cause of conflict as between the old

elite and new wealthy farmers, between people in Bangkok and

the north and northeast provinces.

In case you missed it, please be informed that the

wrongdoings committed or involved by Thaksin and his cronies

are not allegation but factual. It's fairly easy to find

the information from various sources; in print, audio and

video (YouTube). The long list of his wrongdoings and

details can also be found at the <http://www.antithaksin.com/SiteMap.php> website.

With the factual information gathered, you will find that

Thaksin and his cronies are definitely the root cause of the

problems (not the socioeconomic change that Nidhi Eoseewong

distorted). As long as Thaksin owns the largest political

party in Thailand and as long as he continues to meddle in

Thailand's governmental affairs, he is definitely in the

center of conflicts. However, in addition to uprooting the

Thaksinomics, we want to comprehensively reform our nation

to, once and for all, clean up the wrongdoing messes and

prevent unpleasant political crisis from recurring.

And if you have listened objectively to the unified voices

of anti-government protesters, you would easily know that

the massive peaceful protests are not the conflicts between

classes, not between Bangkok and outlying provinces, and not

between the yellow-shirts and the red-shirts. You would

also learn that it was the last three series of misconducts

that compelled the silent majority to come out in millions

on the street to peacefully protest against the current

gov't demanding Yingluck Shinawatra to step down from the

PM post. The last straw that broke the camel's back

involves:

- Their attempt to enact a blanket amnesty bill that

would whitewash all wrongdoings committed by everyone during

2004 through 2013. This means that Thaksin, his cronies

(which many are in the current cabinet) and all convicted

criminals would be set free from their crimes and

misconducts.

- Their hasty passage of resolution to approve a

project that would put Thai people and their descents in

debt for at least 50 years.

- Their covert actions to amend the Constitution

promoting nepotism to allow family members to be elected

members of both lower and upper Houses. They openly

denounced the Court's decision and announced that they would

not accept the verdict after the Constitution Court decided

and declared that the amended provision was illegal because

they knowingly voted for their absent colleagues, altered

the wordings and supporting documents in the version

subsequently submitted to the Court that significantly

different from the original version. Their act and

declaration clearly are unconstitutional and disrespectful

to the judicial branch.

Due to the lack of an effective check-and-balance system or

any provision in the Constitution that allows the recall and

removal of the sitting Prime Minister found to be

incompetent, unethical, irresponsible, or unaccountable,

Thai protesters have no choice but to resort to mass

protests. Since a military coup d'état is no longer

acceptable or can be counted upon, Thai demonstrators

believe it's their own duty to protect the Constitution,

their rights, and the interests of the people.

The majority of Thai people (who were silent in the past) no

longer can tolerate or accept the authoritarian or fascist

system Thaksin's regimes used in disguise of democracy to

rule the country. We want leaders and politicians who are

ethical, responsible and accountable – not the crooks,

convicts and terrorists in the current government and former

cabinets under Thaksin’s control. Based on VDO and audio

clips, it’s the fact that Thaksin has been the one who,

from his residence overseas, remotely sets policies for his

puppet governments to follow, and calls the shots when

things failed to go his way. This fact was even confirmed

by the article you reported and published in your NY Times

on 1/29/13. We also want the real democratic system that

will lead Thai people to greater freedoms and rights, real

equal opportunities and better standard of living for all.

Thai people want to have more says, power and participation

as the check/balance mechanism. We're very eager to quickly

embark on the comprehensive reforms which include systems of

election, voting, political party, and campaign financing to

get rid of vote selling and party buying. This means

temporarily putting the elections aside until the reforms

are done so that there won't be any repeated political

crisis, prolonged conflict and senseless impasse with

uncontrolled corruption and unaccountable leaders and/or

representatives.

By badly misdiagnosing the real cause of the conflict and

the purposes of the massive protests in your article,

you've tarnished your reputation and lowered your

journalism ethics. Whoever and whatever influenced you to

take the low road and join the conspiracy group against

Thailand and the majority of good Thai people, I'd suggest

that you reconsider your relationship with him or her; the

unconstructive collaboration should be terminated. As a

goodwill gesture from a human being to another fellow man, I

sincerely urge you to choose the high road in your journey

to attain greater professional achievements. You should

follow the path of high ethical journalism standard that

Water Cronkite, Bill Moyer, Ted Koppel, Dan Rather, Peter

ความเคลื่อนไหวของคนไทยในต่างแดน แก่ความเข้าใจผิดของสื่อตะวันตก คุณปีเตอร์ ตั้งเพียรกิจ

เขียนโดย Peter Tang-piankij

วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 00:00 น. - แก้ไขล่าสุด วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 13:56 น.

Jennings, Tom Brokaw, Jim Lehrer and Robert MacNeil have

traveled and helped them earn immense respect and trust from

people all over the world.

I also strongly hope you would reexamine your stand and do

the right things by timely and appropriately taking the

corrective actions to put yourself on the same path that

those great journalists and correspondence have traveled,

and be on the same side with the majority of Thai people and

fair-minded foreign readers all over the world.

Sincerely,

Peter Tang-piankij

ความเคลื่อนไหวของคนไทยในต่างแดน แก่ความเข้าใจผิดของสื่อตะวันตก คุณปีเตอร์ ตั้งเพียรกิจ

เขียนโดย Peter Tang-piankij

วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 00:00 น. - แก้ไขล่าสุด วันจันทร์ที่ 23 ธันวาคม 2013 เวลา 13:56 น.

บันทึกนี้เขียนที่ [GotoKnow](#) โดย [Prof. Vicharn Panich](#)